UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

NOTICE OF ALLOWANCE AND FEE(S) DUE

010

7500

01/28/2010

PITNEY BOWES INC. 35 WATERVIEW DRIVE MSC 26-22 SHELTON, CT 06484-3000 EXAMINER

ERB, NATHAN

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

3628 DATE MAILED: 01/28/2010

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/777,592	02/05/2001	Frederick W. Ryan JR.	F-235	3158	

TITLE OF INVENTION: MAIL PIECE VERIFICATION SYSTEM HAVING FORENSIC ACCOUNTING CAPABILITY

APPLN. TYPE	SMALL ENTITY	ISSUE FEE DUE	PUBLICATION FEE DUE	PREV. PAID ISSUE FEE	TOTAL FEE(S) DUE	DATE DUE
nonprovisional	NO	\$1510	\$300	\$0	\$1810	04/28/2010

THE APPLICATION IDENTIFIED ABOVE HAS BEEN EXAMINED AND IS ALLOWED FOR ISSUANCE AS A PATENT. PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS CLOSED. THIS NOTICE OF ALLOWANCE IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT RIGHTS. THIS APPLICATION IS SUBJECT TO WITHDRAWAL FROM ISSUE AT THE INITIATIVE OF THE OFFICE OR UPON PETITION BY THE APPLICANT. SEE 37 CFR 1.313 AND MPEP 1308.

THE ISSUE FEE AND PUBLICATION FEE (IF REQUIRED) MUST BE PAID WITHIN THREE MONTHS FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS NOTICE OR THIS APPLICATION SHALL BE REGARDED AS ABANDONED. THIS STATUTORY PERIOD CANNOT BE EXTENDED. SEE 35 U.S.C. 151. THE ISSUE FEE DUE INDICATED ABOVE DOES NOT REFLECT A CREDIT FOR ANY PREVIOUSLY PAID ISSUE FEE IN THIS APPLICATION. IF AN ISSUE FEE HAS PREVIOUSLY BEEN PAID IN THIS APPLICATION (AS SHOWN ABOVE), THE RETURN OF PART B OF THIS FORM WILL BE CONSIDERED A REQUEST TO REAPPLY THE PREVIOUSLY PAID ISSUE FEE TOWARD THE ISSUE FEE NOW DUE.

HOW TO REPLY TO THIS NOTICE:

I. Review the SMALL ENTITY status shown above.

If the SMALL ENTITY is shown as YES, verify your current SMALL ENTITY status:

A. If the status is the same, pay the TOTAL FEE(S) DUE shown above.

B. If the status above is to be removed, check box 5b on Part B - Fee(s) Transmittal and pay the PUBLICATION FEE (if required) and twice the amount of the ISSUE FEE shown above, or

If the SMALL ENTITY is shown as NO:

A. Pay TOTAL FEE(S) DUE shown above, or

B. If applicant claimed SMALL ENTITY status before, or is now claiming SMALL ENTITY status, check box 5a on Part B - Fee(s) Transmittal and pay the PUBLICATION FEE (if required) and 1/2 the ISSUE FEE shown above.

II. PART B - FEE(S) TRANSMITTAL, or its equivalent, must be completed and returned to the United States Patent and Trademark Office (USPTO) with your ISSUE FEE and PUBLICATION FEE (if required). If you are charging the fee(s) to your deposit account, section "4b" of Part B - Fee(s) Transmittal should be completed and an extra copy of the form should be submitted. If an equivalent of Part B is filed, a request to reapply a previously paid issue fee must be clearly made, and delays in processing may occur due to the difficulty in recognizing the paper as an equivalent of Part B.

III. All communications regarding this application must give the application number. Please direct all communications prior to issuance to Mail Stop ISSUE FEE unless advised to the contrary.

IMPORTANT REMINDER: Utility patents issuing on applications filed on or after Dec. 12, 1980 may require payment of maintenance fees. It is patentee's responsibility to ensure timely payment of maintenance fees when due.

PART B - FEE(S) TRANSMITTAL

Complete and send this form, together with applicable fee(s), to: Mail Mail Stop ISSUE FEE

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

or <u>Fax</u> (571)-273-2885

INSTRUCTIONS: This form should be used for transmitting the ISSUE FEE and PUBLICATION FEE (if required). Blocks 1 through 5 should be completed where m

	ed below or directed oth	ng the Patent, advance of nerwise in Block 1, by (a							
CURRENT CORRESPOND		Note: A certificate of mailing can only be used for domestic mailings of Fee(s) Transmittal. This certificate cannot be used for any other accompany papers. Each additional paper, such as an assignment or formal drawing, mave its own certificate of mailing or transmission.					other accompanying		
PITNEY BOW 35 WATERVIE MSC 26-22	/2010		I her State addr trans	eby certify that th	is Fee(of Mailing or Trans s) Transmittal is being ficient postage for firs ISSUE FEE address 1) 273-2885, on the d	denos	sited with the United	
SHELTON, CT	06484-3000								(Depositor's name)
									(Signature)
									(Date)
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVEN	TOR		ATTO	RNEY DOCKET NO.	CON	NFIRMATION NO.
09/777,592 TITLE OF INVENTION	02/05/2001 N: MAIL PIECE VERIFI	CATION SYSTEM HAV	Frederick W. Ryan I		NTING CAPABIL	ITY	F-235		3158
APPLN. TYPE	SMALL ENTITY	ISSUE FEE DUE	PUBLICATION FEE D	UE	PREV. PAID ISSU	E FEE	TOTAL FEE(S) DUE		DATE DUE
nonprovisional	NO	\$1510	\$300		\$0	\$1810		04/28/2010	04/28/2010
EXAM	MINER	ART UNIT	CLASS-SUBCLASS	SS					
ERB, NATHAN 3628			705-404000						
"Fee Address" ind PTO/SB/47; Rev 03-(Number is required. 3. ASSIGNEE NAME A PLEASE NOTE: Un	A TO BE PRINTED ON ified below, no assignee	registered attorney or agent) and the names of up to							
(A) NAME OF ASSI	GNEE	pletion of this form is NO	(B) RESIDENCE: (C	CITY	and STATE OR C		,	oup ent	tity 🗖 Government
4a. The following fee(s) Issue Fee Publication Fee (N	permitted)	4b. Payment of Fee(s): (Please first reapply any previously paid issue fee shown above) A check is enclosed. Payment by credit card. Form PTO-2038 is attached. The Director is hereby authorized to charge the required fee(s), any deficiency, or credit any overpayment, to Deposit Account Number (enclose an extra copy of this form).							
a. Applicant claim	itus (from status indicated as SMALL ENTITY statu	ıs. See 37 CFR 1.27.					TITY status. See 37 Cl		
NOTE: The Issue Fee an interest as shown by the	nd Publication Fee (if req records of the United Sta	uired) will not be accepte tes Patent and Trademark	ed from anyone other the Office.	nan th	ne applicant; a regi	stered :	uttorney or agent; or th	e assig	gnee or other party in
Authorized Signature					Date				
Typed or printed name			Registration No.						
an application Confiden	ntiality is governed by 35 dapplication form to the ions for reducing this but Virginia 22313-1450. DC	CFR 1.311. The informatic U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR USPTO. Time will vary rden, should be sent to the ONOT SEND FEES OR	1.14 This collection i	e octi	imated to take 12 i	ninuted	to complete including	o oath	ering preparing and

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/777,592	02/05/2001	Frederick W. Ryan JR.	F-235	3158	
919 75	90 01/28/2010		EXAM	INER	
PITNEY BOWES INC.			ERB, NA	ATHAN	
35 WATERVIEW	DRIVE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
MSC 26-22 SHELTON, CT 06	484-3000		3628 DATE MAILED: 01/28/2010		

Determination of Patent Term Adjustment under 35 U.S.C. 154 (b)

(application filed on or after May 29, 2000)

The Patent Term Adjustment to date is 1680 day(s). If the issue fee is paid on the date that is three months after the mailing date of this notice and the patent issues on the Tuesday before the date that is 28 weeks (six and a half months) after the mailing date of this notice, the Patent Term Adjustment will be 1680 day(s).

If a Continued Prosecution Application (CPA) was filed in the above-identified application, the filing date that determines Patent Term Adjustment is the filing date of the most recent CPA.

Applicant will be able to obtain more detailed information by accessing the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) WEB site (http://pair.uspto.gov).

Any questions regarding the Patent Term Extension or Adjustment determination should be directed to the Office of Patent Legal Administration at (571)-272-7702. Questions relating to issue and publication fee payments should be directed to the Customer Service Center of the Office of Patent Publication at 1-(888)-786-0101 (571)-272-4200.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	09/777,592	RYAN, FREDERICK W.					
Notice of Allowability	Examiner	Art Unit					
	NATHAN ERB	3628					
	NATHAN END	3020					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appearance All claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS herewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85) NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT RIOF of the Office or upon petition by the applicant. See 37 CFR 1.313	(OR REMAINS) CLOSED in or other appropriate comm IGHTS. This application is	n this application. If not included unication will be mailed in due course. THIS					
1. X This communication is responsive to the decision of the Bo	pard of Patent Appeals and	Interferences dated 25 September 2009.					
2. The allowed claim(s) is/are 2,4,7,9,11,14,16,18 and 22-24.							
3. \square Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority ur	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d)	or (f).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some* c) ☐ None of the:							
 Certified copies of the priority documents have 	e been received.						
Certified copies of the priority documents have	been received in Application	on No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority do	cuments have been receive	d in this national stage application from the					
International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* Certified copies not received:							
Applicant has THREE MONTHS FROM THE "MAILING DATE" of this communication to file a reply complying with the requirements noted below. Failure to timely comply will result in ABANDONMENT of this application. THIS THREE-MONTH PERIOD IS NOT EXTENDABLE.							
4. A SUBSTITUTE OATH OR DECLARATION must be submitted. Note the attached EXAMINER'S AMENDMENT or NOTICE OF INFORMAL PATENT APPLICATION (PTO-152) which gives reason(s) why the oath or declaration is deficient.							
5. CORRECTED DRAWINGS (as "replacement sheets") mus	st be submitted.						
(a) ☐ including changes required by the Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) attached							
1) ☐ hereto or 2) ☐ to Paper No./Mail Date							
(b) ☐ including changes required by the attached Examiner's Amendment / Comment or in the Office action of Paper No./Mail Date							
Identifying indicia such as the application number (see 37 CFR 1.84(c)) should be written on the drawings in the front (not the back) of each sheet. Replacement sheet(s) should be labeled as such in the header according to 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
6. DEPOSIT OF and/or INFORMATION about the deposit of BIOLOGICAL MATERIAL must be submitted. Note the attached Examiner's comment regarding REQUIREMENT FOR THE DEPOSIT OF BIOLOGICAL MATERIAL.							
Attachment(s) 1. ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	5 □ Notice of Ir	oformal Patent Application					
2. ☐ Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		ummary (PTO-413),					
_ ,	Paper No.	/Mail Date					
 Information Disclosure Statements (PTO/SB/08), Paper No./Mail Date 20031218 	/. ⊠ Examiner's	Amendment/Comment					
4. Examiner's Comment Regarding Requirement for Deposit of Biological Material	_	Statement of Reasons for Allowance					
	9.	-					

Art Unit: 3628

EXAMINER'S AMENDMENT

An examiner's amendment to the record appears below. Should the changes and/or additions be unacceptable to applicant, an amendment may be filed as provided by 37 CFR 1.312. To ensure consideration of such an amendment, it MUST be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee.

Authorization for this examiner's amendment was given in a telephone call with Attorney Brian Lemm on January 13, 2010.

Claims 2, 4, 7, 9, 11, 16, 18, and 22-24 of the application have been amended as follows:

2. (Currently amended) A mail piece verification system for processing mail pieces, the mail pieces having associated therewith respective mail piece data, the <u>mail piece</u> verification system comprising:

a data center; and

<u>a plurality of mail processing centers</u> in operative communication with a plurality of mail processing centers, the data center;

the data center includingstoring a plurality of account files corresponding to a plurality of postage metering systems;

the data center being adapted configured to:

store reset data in each of the plurality of account files representative of reset activity associated with the plurality of postage metering systems, respectively;

Application/Control Number: 09/777,592

Art Unit: 3628

receive the respective mail piece data corresponding to the mail pieces from the plurality of mail processing centers;

Page 3

store empirical data in each of the plurality of account files representative of mailing activity associated with the plurality of postage metering systems, respectively;

conduct a forensic accounting analysis of the empirical data and the reset data associated with a selected postage metering system using a previously defined time period over which to conduct the forensic accounting analysis;

determine, by the forensic accounting analysis, that the empirical data is not consistent with the reset data for the selected postage metering system; and

download graphic data to the selected postage metering system to be which is then included in the mail piece data of mail pieces subsequently prepared by the selected postage metering system if the forensic accounting analysis reveals that the empirical data is not consistent with the reset data for the selected postage metering system.

4. (Currently amended) The <u>mail piece verification</u> system of claim 2, wherein the data center is further <u>adapted configured</u> to:

conduct an inspection of the selected postage metering system-if the forensic accounting analysis reveals that the empirical data is not consistent with the reset data for the selected postage metering system.

7. (Currently amended) The <u>mail piece verification</u> system of claim 2, wherein the data center is further <u>adapted configured</u> to:

issue instructions to the plurality of mail processing centers to increase a sample rate for mail pieces including mail piece data corresponding to the selected postage metering system-if the forensic accounting analysis reveals that the empirical data is not consistent with the reset data for the selected postage metering system.

9. (Currently amended) A method of operating a mail piece verification system for processing mail pieces, the mail pieces having associated therewith respective mail piece data, the method comprising:

receiving the mail pieces at a plurality of mail processing centers that have been prepared by a plurality of postage metering systems;

obtaining the respective mail piece data from the mail pieces;

maintaining a plurality of account files corresponding to the plurality of postage metering systems;

storing reset data in each of the plurality of account files representative of reset activity associated with the plurality of postage metering systems, respectively;

using the respective mail piece data, storing empirical data in each of the plurality of account files representative of mailing activity associated with the plurality of postage metering systems, respectively;

conducting, by a data center, a forensic accounting analysis of the empirical data and the reset data associated with a selected postage metering system using a previously defined time period over which to conduct the forensic accounting analysis;

determining, by the forensic accounting analysis, that the empirical data is not consistent with the reset data for the selected postage metering system; and

downloading graphic data to the selected postage metering system if the forensic accounting analysis reveals that the empirical data is not consistent with the reset data for the selected postage metering system, the graphic data be which is then included in the mail piece data of mail pieces subsequently prepared by the selected postage metering system.

11. (Currently amended) The method of claim 9, further comprising:

conducting an inspection of the selected postage metering system if the forensic accounting analysis reveals that the empirical data is not consistent with the reset data for the selected postage metering system.

16. (Currently amended) A method of operating a data center for processing data associated with mail pieces and a plurality of postage metering systems for preparing the mail pieces, the method comprising:

obtaining reset data representative of reset activity associated with the plurality of postage metering systems;

obtaining empirical data representative of mailing activity associated with the plurality of postage metering systems;

conducting, by the data center, a forensic accounting analysis of the empirical data and the reset data associated with a selected postage metering system using a previously defined time period over which to conduct the forensic accounting analysis;

determining, by the forensic accounting analysis, that the empirical data is not consistent with the reset data for the selected postage metering system; and

Art Unit: 3628

downloading graphic data to the selected postage metering system if the forensic accounting analysis reveals that the empirical data is not consistent with the reset data for the selected postage metering system, the graphic data for inclusion on which is then included in the mail piece data of mail pieces subsequently prepared by the selected postage metering system.

18. (Currently amended) The method of claim 16, further comprising:

conducting an inspection of the selected postage metering system if the forensic accounting analysis reveals that the empirical data is not consistent with the reset data for the selected postage metering system.

22. (Currently amended) The mail piece verification system of claim 2, wherein the data center is further adapted configured to:

issue a new serial number to the selected postage metering system to replace an existing serial number of the selected postage metering system—if the forensic accounting analysis reveals that the empirical data is not consistent with the reset data for the selected postage metering system.

23. (Currently amended) The method of claim 9, further comprising:

issuing a new serial number to the selected postage metering system to replace an existing serial number-if the forensic accounting analysis reveals that the empirical data is not consistent with the reset data for the selected postage metering system;

allowing normal processing of mail pieces containing the new serial number; and instructing the plurality of mail processing centers to withhold <u>normal processing</u> of mail pieces containing the existing serial number.

Application/Control Number: 09/777,592

Art Unit: 3628

24. (Currently amended) The method of claim 16, further comprising:

issuing a new serial number to the selected postage metering system to replace an existing serial number-if the forensic accounting analysis reveals that the empirical data is not consistent with the reset data for the selected postage metering system;

Page 7

providing instructions to allow normal processing of mail pieces containing the new serial number; and

providing instructions to withhold <u>normal</u> processing of mail pieces containing the existing serial number.

The abstract of the application has been amended as follows:

A mail piece verification system for processing mail pieces having associated therewith respective-mail piece data. The system includes a plurality of postage metering systems for preparing mail pieces, a plurality of mail processing centers for receiving mail pieces and obtaining the respective-mail piece data, and a data center means in operative communication with the plurality of postage metering systems and the plurality of mail processing centers, the data center means including a plurality of account files corresponding to the plurality of postage metering systems. The data center means-stores reset data in each of [[the]]a plurality of account files representative of reset activity associated with the plurality of postage metering systems, respectively, receives respective mail piece data corresponding to the mail pieces from the plurality of mail processing centers, uses the respective mail piece data, storingstores empirical data in each of the plurality of account files representative of

mailing activity associated with the plurality of postage metering systems, respectively, and conducts a forensic accounting analysis of the empirical data and the reset data associated with a selected postage metering system.

Oath/Declaration

The oath or declaration is defective. A new oath or declaration in compliance with 37 CFR 1.67(a) identifying this application by application number and filing date is required. See MPEP §§ 602.01 and 602.02.

The oath or declaration is defective because:

It does not state that the person making the oath or declaration has reviewed and understands the contents of the specification, including the claims, as amended by any amendment specifically referred to in the oath or declaration.

Applicant is now required to submit a substitute declaration or oath to correct the deficiencies set forth above in this communication. The substitute oath or declaration must be filed within the THREE MONTH shortened statutory period set for reply in the "Notice of Allowability" (PTO-37). Extensions of time may NOT be obtained under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136. Failure to timely file the substitute declaration (or oath) will result in **ABANDONMENT** of the application. The transmittal letter accompanying the declaration (or oath) should indicate the date of the "Notice of Allowance" (PTOL-85) and the application number in the upper right hand corner.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to NATHAN ERB whose telephone number is (571) 272-7606. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30 AM - 5:00 PM.

Art Unit: 3628

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Hayes can be reached on (571) 272-6708. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

NATHAN ERB Examiner Art Unit 3628

Nhe

/JOHN W HAYES/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3628

Art Unit: 3628

Allowable Subject Matter

1. Claims 2, 4, 7, 9, 11, 14, 16, 18, and 22-24 are allowed.

2. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

Claim 2

The closest prior art of record is Hunter, U.S. Patent No. 5,280,531, and Webb, Warren, "Electronic Stamps Lick Internet Security (How It Works)," <u>EDN</u>, Vol. 43, No. 16, August 3, 1998, p. 44(2).

Hunter discloses:

- a mail piece verification system for processing mail pieces, the mail pieces having associated therewith respective mail piece data;
- the data center including a plurality of account files corresponding to a plurality of postage metering systems;
- the data center being adapted to store reset data in each of the plurality of account files representative of reset activity associated with the plurality of postage metering systems, respectively;
- store empirical data in each of the plurality of account files representative of mailing activity associated with the plurality of postage metering systems, respectively;
- conduct a forensic accounting analysis of the empirical data and the reset data associated with a selected postage metering system using a previously defined time period over which to conduct the forensic accounting analysis;

- wherein the data center initiates responsive action if the forensic accounting analysis reveals that the empirical data is not consistent with the reset data for the selected postage metering system.

Webb discloses methods for countering postal indicium fraud.

As per claim 2, the closest prior art of record taken either individually or in combination with other prior art of record fails to teach or suggest determine, by the forensic accounting analysis, that the empirical data is not consistent with the reset data for the selected postage metering system; and download graphic data to the selected postage metering system which is then included in the mail piece data of mail pieces subsequently prepared by the selected postage metering system.

Claim 9

The closest prior art of record is Hunter, U.S. Patent No. 5,280,531, and Webb, Warren, "Electronic Stamps Lick Internet Security (How It Works)," <u>EDN</u>, Vol. 43, No. 16, August 3, 1998, p. 44(2).

Hunter discloses:

- a method of operating a mail piece verification system for processing mail pieces, the mail pieces having associated therewith respective mail piece data;
 - obtaining the respective mail piece data from the mail pieces;
- maintaining a plurality of account files corresponding to the plurality of postage metering systems;
- storing reset data in each of the plurality of account files representative of reset activity associated with the plurality of postage metering systems, respectively;

Art Unit: 3628

- using the respective mail piece data, storing empirical data in each of the plurality of account files representative of mailing activity associated with the plurality of postage metering systems, respectively;

- conducting a forensic accounting analysis of the empirical data and the reset data associated with a selected postage metering system using a previously defined time period over which to conduct the forensic accounting analysis;

 initiating responsive action if the forensic accounting analysis reveals that the empirical data is not consistent with the reset data for the selected postage metering system.

Webb discloses methods for countering postal indicium fraud.

As per claim 9, the closest prior art of record taken either individually or in combination with other prior art of record fails to teach or suggest determining, by the forensic accounting analysis, that the empirical data is not consistent with the reset data for the selected postage metering system; and downloading graphic data to the selected postage metering system which is then included in the mail piece data of mail pieces subsequently prepared by the selected postage metering system.

Claim 16

The closest prior art of record is Hunter, U.S. Patent No. 5,280,531, and Webb, Warren, "Electronic Stamps Lick Internet Security (How It Works)," <u>EDN</u>, Vol. 43, No. 16, August 3, 1998, p. 44(2).

Hunter discloses:

- a method of operating a data center for processing data associated with mail pieces and a plurality of postage metering systems for preparing mail pieces;

- obtaining reset data representative of reset activity associated with the plurality of postage metering systems;
- obtaining empirical data representative of mailing activity associated with the plurality of postage metering systems;
- conducting a forensic accounting analysis of the empirical data and the reset data associated with a selected postage metering system using a previously defined time period over which to conduct the forensic accounting analysis;
- initiating responsive action if the forensic accounting analysis reveals that the empirical data is not consistent with the reset data for the selected postage metering system.

Webb discloses methods for countering postal indicium fraud.

As per claim 16, the closest prior art of record taken either individually or in combination with other prior art of record fails to teach or suggest determining, by the forensic accounting analysis, that the empirical data is not consistent with the reset data for the selected postage metering system; and downloading graphic data to the selected postage metering system which is then included in the mail piece data of mail pieces subsequently prepared by the selected postage metering system.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably Art Unit: 3628

accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

3. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to NATHAN ERB whose telephone number is (571) 272-7606. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30 AM - 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Hayes can be reached on (571) 272-6708. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

NATHAN ERB Examiner Art Unit 3628

nhe

/JOHN W HAYES/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3628

Application/Control Number: 09/777,592

Page 15

Art Unit: 3628